Task 1 – Mapping exercise

Key findings and proposed way forward

Co-leads UNEP and UNIDO

Results – some observations

- Information provided by organizations is very different!
 - Ranges from general ("sketchy") to very detailed (publications, projects)
- ESTs mainly provided by UNEP, UNIDO and WIPO; UNCTAD to some extent. ICTs (naturally) dominate in the case of ITU
- Unclear what we mean by 'Technology'?

Key findings

- All organizations seem to have good collaboration with governments; with some having other UN agencies as key partners.
- The scope of projects varies, with larger projects tending to have a global focus and smaller projects having a regional or national focus.
- Many parts of the UN system are involved in technology facilitation in the entire technology cycle. Most involved in ESTs.
- However, it is challenging to identify "gaps" based solely on the survey results.
- 'Limited' scoping of initial mapping exercise resulting in broad range of responses.
- This made it difficult to draw comparable trends from the data.

Next steps Issues to be addressed at this meeting

- More scoping of exercise to ensure relevant results.
- Re-define technology areas to be covered? e.g. EST vs broader; hard vs soft
- Level of depth to examine, e.g. macro vs micro?
- Include more organizations, e.g., regional agencies (ESCAP), research oriented agencies (UNU), FAO, WHO, etc.?
- Need to create new refined template?
- Consider time constraints and limited resources faced by the IAWG.

Next steps Based on today's decisions

- Revise the survey based on today's discussions/decisions
- Circulate the revised survey (including to new organisations)
- Results analysis of second survey to identify areas of synergy and cooperation, as well as gaps in the range of services currently offered.
- Results will also be used to inform initial thinking on the design parameters of an online knowledge platform.
- What to present to Member States at the April meeting? i.e. Work Plan